

Chiefs And Sheriffs Oppose Immigration Policies Against Community
Policing

The Honorable Trey Gowdy
Chairman, Subcommittee on Immigration and Border Security
1404 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Zoe Lofgren
Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Immigration and Border Security
1401 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Chairman Gowdy and Ranking Member Lofgren:

As law enforcement leaders dedicated to preserving the safety and security of our communities, we are deeply troubled by the tragic murder of Kathryn Steinle in San Francisco on July 1, 2015. Like other Americans, we are concerned that a dangerous criminal with multiple felonies was out in the streets, and our hearts go out to Ms. Steinle's family and friends.

Even before this tragic incident, we have been alarmed to see various legislative proposals that would attempt to impose ineffective "one-size-fits-all" policies that would cause great harm to our departments and our communities. These troubling proposals are increasing in a misguided attempt to address Ms. Steinle's murder. Some would defund or condition funding of "sanctuary cities," including H.R. 3002 and H.R. 3009 in the House, Sen. Paul's yet-to-be-numbered sanctuary cities bill, as well as amendments submitted by Sens. Cotton and Vitter to the Every Child Achieves Act of 2015. These proposals, along with existing SAFE Act-type proposals to require state and local law enforcement to become immigration agents (such as H.R. 1148 and S. 1640), would threaten crucial federal law enforcement funding and undermine basic community policing principles. The Law Enforcement Immigration Task Force (LEITF) opposes these and similar proposals and urges Congress to reject them.

We Oppose Proposals that Impose Federal Immigration Enforcement Responsibilities on Local Law Enforcement

As several of our members noted in a February 10, 2015, letter to the leadership of the Subcommittee on Immigration and Border Security,

Immigration enforcement is, first and foremost, a federal responsibility. Immigration enforcement at the state and local levels diverts limited resources from public safety. State

Chiefs And Sheriffs Oppose Immigration Policies Against Community Policing

and local law enforcement agencies face tight budgets and should not be charged with the federal government's role in enforcing federal immigration laws.

Since DHS announced that it would be replacing the flawed Secure Communities program with the Priority Enforcement Program (PEP), many law enforcement agencies have been working with the federal government on implementation of the new program. Recognizing the need to tailor it to the needs of particular jurisdictions, DHS is in the process of reaching out to jurisdictions to build trust for the program and to ensure that it meets the needs of a particular jurisdiction. We must give this process some time to determine if it will provide a way for local law enforcement and DHS to work together to identify and remove dangerous individuals from our communities.

The current legislative proposals would undermine this process, compelling local law enforcement officers to act as immigration agents while imposing a federal "one-size-fits-all" approach. These approaches would reduce trust between immigrant communities and law enforcement, while imposing troubling federal mandates on law enforcement.

We Oppose Proposals that Undermine Community Policing

State and local law enforcement agencies work every day to build trusting relationships with residents, and need that trust to do our job: apprehend criminals and maintain public safety. All should feel safe in our communities and comfortable in reporting crimes, serving as witnesses, and calling for help in emergencies. This improves community policing and safety for everyone.

The legislative proposals described above pose real danger to existing relationships between immigrant communities and state and local law enforcement. When state and local law enforcement agencies are required to enforce federal immigration laws, undocumented residents may fear that they, or people they know or depend upon, risk deportation by working with law enforcement. This fear undermines trust between law enforcement and the communities we serve, creating too much room for dangerous criminals and violent crime.

Rather than require state and local agencies to engage in additional immigration enforcement, Congress should focus on reforms to allow state and local law enforcement to focus resources on these very clear threats to safety: dangerous criminals, violent crime and criminal organizations.

We Oppose Proposals that Threaten Crucial Law Enforcement Grants

Chiefs And Sheriffs Oppose Immigration Policies Against Community Policing

Our Task Force has consistently urged the federal government to ensure that state and local law enforcement have adequate resources. However, rather than provide additional resources to encourage better cooperation between federal, state and local law enforcement, the “sanctuary cities” proposals actually threaten crucial existing funding resources. This approach is deeply problematic. Because some of these proposals specifically target major cities that have expressed concern with the well-known constitutional deficiencies with immigration detainers, several of our largest law enforcement agencies may lose critical funding that enables them to keep their streets safe.

Conclusion

We urge Congress to reject proposals that tie law enforcement funding to federal mandates to carry out immigration enforcement functions and to reject SAFE Act-style proposals that foist federal immigration enforcement on states and localities. Our immigration challenge is national and deserves a national approach, and we continue to recognize that what our broken system truly needs is a permanent legislative solution.

Sincerely,

The Law Enforcement Immigration Task Force

Chief Art Acevedo
Austin Police Department
Texas

Chief Richard Biehl
Dayton Police Department
Ohio

Chief Mike Brown
Salt Lake City Police Department
Utah

Retired Chief Chris Burbank
Salt Lake City Police Department
Utah

Sheriff Mark Curran

Chiefs And Sheriffs Oppose Immigration Policies Against Community Policing

Lake County Sheriff's Office
Illinois

Sheriff Tony Estrada
Santa Cruz County Sheriff's Office
Arizona

Assistant Chief Randy Gaber
Madison Police Department
Wisconsin

Chief Ron Haddad
Dearborn Police Department
Michigan

Chief Dwight Henninger
Vail Police Department
Colorado

Chief Michael Koval
Madison Police Department
Wisconsin

Chief Brian Kyes
Chelsea Police Department
Massachusetts

Chief Jose Lopez
Durham Police Department
North Carolina

Sheriff Leon Lott
Richland County Sheriff's Department
South Carolina

Chief J. Thomas Manger
Montgomery County Police Department
Maryland

Michael Masters

Chiefs And Sheriffs Oppose Immigration Policies Against Community Policing

Cook County Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Management
Illinois

Sheriff William McCarthy
Polk County Sheriff's Office
Iowa

Sheriff Margaret Mims
Fresno County Sheriff's Office
California

Chief John Mina
Orlando Police Department
Florida

Chief Roy Minter
Peoria Police Department
Arizona

Lieutenant Andy Norris
Tuscaloosa County Sheriff's Office
Alabama

Commissioner Keith Squires
Utah Department of Public Safety
Utah

Chief Ron Teachman
South Bend Police Department
Indiana

Chief Michael Tupper
Marshalltown Police Department
Iowa

Sheriff Lupe Valdez
Dallas County Sheriff's Office
Texas

